

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 * * *

6 Brent Hubbard,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et
al.,

10 Defendants.
11
12

Case No. 2:21-cv-02037-RFB-DJA

Order

13 On January 26, 2022, the Court granted Plaintiff's counsel's motion to withdraw. (ECF
14 No. 12). In that order, the Court required Plaintiff to inform the Court whether he would proceed
15 *pro se* or retain counsel by February 25, 2022. (*Id.*). That deadline has since passed, and the
16 Court has had no contact from Plaintiff. The Court thus orders Plaintiff to show cause why he has
17 not filed a notice with the Court informing it whether he intends to retain new counsel or proceed
18 *pro se*. If Plaintiff does not comply with this Order, the Court will recommend that this action be
19 dismissed. *See McClure v. Fessler*, 57 Fed.Appx. 727, 727 (9th Cir. 2003) ("the district court
20 may dismiss a case *sua sponte* for failure to prosecute.").

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff must file a response to this Order within
2 thirty days—by **Friday, May 20, 2022**. Plaintiff must show cause why he has not complied with
3 the Court’s order requiring him to inform the Court whether he will retain new counsel or proceed
4 *pro se*. The Clerk of Court is kindly directed to mail Plaintiff a copy of this Order.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, should Plaintiff not file a response within thirty days
6 from the date of this Order, the Court will recommend dismissal of this action.

7
8 DATED: April 20, 2022



DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE